| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|---|--|
| | 10/003,864 | BATRA ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Ted M. Wang | 2611 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: | | |
| (1) <u>Ted M. Wang</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) Steven Shaw. | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: <u>3/26/2007</u> | Time: <u>1:40 p.m.</u> | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| Claims discussed: 21 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet | RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS | B DISCUSSED: |
| Part III. | | |
| ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary | examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview |
| | | |
| | · | |
| | | |
| • | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) 3 6/6 7 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) | | |
| | | |

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claim 21 was missing from the amendment document dated 9/28/2006. The Applicant stated that it was unintentionally missing the claim 21 on 9/28/2006 amendment. Applicant requests to keep the claim 21 to the instant application as amended on 4/21/2006 amendment.